WARNOCK, MACKINLAY & CARMAN, P.	L.L.C.
l	
l '	
jay@mackinlaylawoffice.com	
Attorneys for Plaintiff	
IN THE UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTR	CICT OF ARIZONA
SANDRA CARPENTER-DIXON, both	Case No.:
individually and as personal representative of)) COMPLAINT
the Estate of Robert P. Dixon, deceased)
Plaintiff,	
vs.)
THE DOLIDENTIAL INCLUDANCE)
Committee, a corporation,))
Defendant.	
Plaintiff, by and through undersigned cou	nsel, and for her cause of action against Defendant,
	,
alleges as follows:	
<u>JURISI</u>	<u>DICTION</u>
1) Plaintiff is a citizen of this County and State.	
2) Defendant The Prudential Insurance compar	ny of America ("Prudential") is a validly existing
insurance company licensed and carrying on business as an insurer in Maricopa County,	
insurance company needsee and carrying	on custoss as an insuzer in remitted county,
Arizona.	
3) This action concerns an insurance policy ent	ered into in this County and State and Prudential's
failure to pay benefits to Plaintiff.	
4) This matter arises pursuant to the Emplo	byee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974
("ERISA"). As such, the court has jurisdiction	on pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §1132(e).
FA	CTS
	1019 South Stapley Mesa, Arizona 85204 (480) 898-9239 James N. MacKinlay, State Bar No. 018074 jay@mackinlaylawoffice.com Attorneys for Plaintiff IN THE UNITED STA FOR THE DISTR SANDRA CARPENTER-DIXON, both individually and as personal representative of the Estate of Robert P. Dixon, deceased Plaintiff, vs. THE PRUDENTIAL INSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA, a corporation, Defendant. Plaintiff, by and through undersigned could alleges as follows: JURISI 1) Plaintiff is a citizen of this County and State. 2) Defendant The Prudential Insurance companinsurance company licensed and carrying Arizona. 3) This action concerns an insurance policy ent failure to pay benefits to Plaintiff. 4) This matter arises pursuant to the Employers ("ERISA"). As such, the court has jurisdiction

1	18) By failing to communicate with Plaintiff as set forth above, Prudential has waived the right to
2	require Plaintiff to comply with any additional administrative steps to recover benefits under the
3 4	Policy.
5	19) Further, given Prudential's failure to communicate with Plaintiff, it would be futile for Plaintiff
6	to pursue any additional administrative steps against Prudential.
7	20) Plaintiff is entitled to obtain the Policy.
8	21) Plaintiff is entitled to know what additional steps must be taken before she receives benefit
9 L0	under the Policy.
L1	22) Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §1132(a), Plaintiff is entitled to recover the benefits due under the Policy.
L2	23) Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §1132(a), Plaintiff is entitled to enforce her rights under the Policy.
L3	24) Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §1132(a), Plaintiff is entitled to clarification as to her rights for any future
L4 L5	benefits under the Policy.
L6	25) Plaintiff is entitled to all accrued benefits due, plus interest from the earliest date due until paid
L7	in full.
L8	26) To the extent an actual justiciable controversy exists between the parties, Plaintiff is entitled to
L9	declaration from this Court confirming that Plaintiff is entitled to the benefits.
20	27) Plaintiff is entitled to an injunction against Prudential's improper refusal to pay benefits.
22	28) Prudential has breached fiduciary duties owed to Plaintiff.
23	29) Plaintiff has been damaged by Prudential's breach of fiduciary duties.
24	30) Plaintiff is entitled to damages pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §1132.
25	31) Plaintiff is entitled to recover attorney's fees and costs against Prudential pursuant to 29 U.S.C
26 27	§1132(g).
28	

1	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff is entitled to judgment in her favor and against Defendants a
2	follows:
3	A. For payment of the benefits under the Policy, plus interest from the earliest date due until paid i
5	full;
6	B. To the extent an actual justiciable controversy exists between the parties, for a declaration
7	confirming that Plaintiff is entitled to benefits under the Policy;
8	C. For an injunction against Prudential's improper refusal to pay benefits;
9	D. For damages sufficient to compensate Plaintiff as a result of Prudential's breach of fiduciar
11	duties;
12	E. For attorney's fees and costs; and
13	F. For such other and further relief as the court may deem just and equitable.
14 15	DATED this 1 st day of August, 2011.
16	WARNOCK, MACKINLAY & CARMAN, P.L.L.C.
17	Pry /c/ James N. Maskinlay
18	By /s/ James N. MacKinlay . James N. MacKinlay Attorneys for Plaintiffs
19	Automeys for Flamums
20	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26 27	
28	

VERIFICATION

Sandra Carpenter-Dixon, being first duly sworn, deposes and says:

- 1. I am the Plaintiff in the captioned action;
- 2. I have read the foregoing Complaint and know the content thereof;
- 3. I verify under penalty of perjury, pursuant to Rule 80(i), A.R.C.P., that the content thereof is true and correct of my own knowledge, except as to those matters stated upon information and belief and, as to those, I believe them to be true.

Further affiant sayeth naught.

DATED: 7-28-11

Sandra Carpenter-Dixon